

FINITE-DIMENSIONAL SUBALGEBRAS IN POLYNOMIAL LIE ALGEBRAS OF RANK ONE

I.V. ARZHANTSEV, E.A. MAKEDONSKII, A.P. PETRAVCHUK

ABSTRACT. Let $W_n(\mathbb{K})$ be the Lie algebra of derivations of the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{K}[X] := \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} of characteristic zero. A subalgebra $L \subseteq W_n(\mathbb{K})$ is called polynomial if it is a submodule of the $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module $W_n(\mathbb{K})$. We prove that the centralizer of every nonzero element in L is abelian provided L has rank one. This allows to classify finite-dimensional subalgebras in polynomial Lie algebras of rank one.

INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{K} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and $\mathbb{K}[X] := \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ the polynomial algebra over \mathbb{K} . Recall that a *derivation* of $\mathbb{K}[X]$ is a linear operator $D: \mathbb{K}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[X]$ such that

$$D(fg) = D(f)g + fD(g) \quad \text{for all } f, g \in \mathbb{K}[X].$$

Every derivation of the algebra $\mathbb{K}[X]$ has the form

$$P_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \dots + P_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \quad \text{for some } P_1, \dots, P_n \in \mathbb{K}[X].$$

A derivation D may be extended to the derivation \overline{D} of the field of rational functions $\mathbb{K}(X) := \mathbb{K}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ by

$$\overline{D} \left(\frac{f}{g} \right) := \frac{D(f)g - fD(g)}{g^2}.$$

The kernel S of \overline{D} is an algebraically closed subfield of $\mathbb{K}(X)$, cf. [6, Lemma 2.1].

Denote by $W_n(\mathbb{K})$ the Lie algebra of all derivations of $\mathbb{K}[X]$ with respect to the standard commutator. The study of the structure of the Lie algebra $W_n(\mathbb{K})$ and of its subalgebras is an important problem appearing in various contexts (note that in case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ we have the Lie algebra $W_n(\mathbb{K})$ of all vector fields with polynomial coefficients on \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{C}^n). Since $W_n(\mathbb{K})$ is a free $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module (with the basis $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$), it is natural to consider the subalgebras $L \subseteq W_n(\mathbb{K})$ which are $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -submodules. Following the work of V.M. Buchstaber and D.V. Leykin [1], we call such subalgebras the *polynomial Lie algebras*. In [1], the polynomial Lie algebras of maximal rank were considered. Earlier, D.A. Jordan studied subalgebras of the Lie algebra $\text{Der}(R)$ for a commutative ring R which are R -submodules in the R -module $\text{Der}(R)$ (see [4]).

In this note, we study polynomial Lie algebras L of rank one. In Section 2 we prove that the centralizer of every nonzero element in L is abelian. Clearly, this property is inherited by any subalgebra in L . It is not difficult to describe all finite-dimensional Lie algebras with

The first author was supported by the RFBR grant 09-01-90416-Ukr-f-a. The third author was supported by the DFFD, grant F28.1/026.

this property, see Proposition 2. In Theorem 1 we give a classification of finite-dimensional subalgebras in polynomial Lie algebras of rank one: every such subalgebra is either abelian, or solvable with an abelian ideal of codimension one and trivial center, or isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K})$. Moreover, for all these three types we construct an explicit realization in some L . Applying obtained results to the Lie algebra $W_1(\mathbb{K})$ we give a description of all finite dimensional subalgebras of $W_1(\mathbb{K})$ (Proposition 3). In case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ this description can be easily deduced from classical results of S. Lie (see [5]) about realizations (up to local diffeomorphisms) of finite dimensional Lie algebras by vector fields on the complex line. In [5], S. Lie has also classified analogous realizations on the complex plane and on the real line. On the real plane such a classification is given in [2].

1. LIE ALGEBRAS WITH ABELIAN CENTRALIZERS

We begin with an elementary lemma on submodules of a free module. Let A be a unique factorization domain and $N = Ae_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Ae_n$ a free A -module. An element $x \in N$ is said to be *reduced* if the condition $x = ax'$ with $a \in A$ and $x' \in N$ implies that the element a is invertible in A .

Lemma 1. *For every submodule $M \subseteq N$ of rank one there exist an ideal $I \subseteq A$ and a reduced element $m_0 \in N$ such that $M = Im_0$. The submodule M defines the element m_0 uniquely up to multiplication by an invertible element of A .*

Proof. Take a nonzero element $m \in M$, $m = a_1e_1 + \dots + a_ne_n$. Let a be the greatest common divisor of a_1, \dots, a_n , and $m_0 = a_1^0e_1 + \dots + a_n^0e_n$, where $a_i^0 = a_i/a$. Since M has rank one, for every nonzero $m' \in M$ there are nonzero $c, d \in A$ such that $cm + dm' = 0$. Then $acm_0 + dm' = 0$. If $m' = a'_1e_1 + \dots + a'_ne_n$, then $aca_i^0 + da'_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. If d does not divide ac , then some prime $p \in A$ divides all the elements a_1^0, \dots, a_n^0 . But the elements a_1^0, \dots, a_n^0 are coprime, a contradiction. Thus m' equals bm_0 with $b = ac/d$. This proves that all elements of M have the form bm_0 for some $b \in A$. Clearly, all elements $b \in A$ such that $bm_0 \in M$ form an ideal I of A . The second assertion follows from the fact that a free A -module has no torsion. \square

We say that a derivation $P_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \dots + P_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$ is *reduced* if the polynomials P_1, \dots, P_n are coprime. Setting $A = \mathbb{K}[X]$ and $N = W_n(\mathbb{K})$, we get the following variant of Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. *For every submodule $M \subseteq W_n(\mathbb{K})$ of rank one there exist an ideal $I \subseteq \mathbb{K}[X]$ and a reduced derivation $D_0 \in W_n(\mathbb{K})$ such that $M = ID_0$. The submodule M defines the derivation D_0 uniquely up to nonzero scalar.*

Now we study the centralizers of elements in a polynomial Lie algebra of rank one.

Proposition 1. *Let L be a subalgebra of the Lie algebra $W_n(\mathbb{K})$. Assume that L is a submodule of rank one in the $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module $W_n(\mathbb{K})$. Then the centralizer of any nonzero element in L is abelian.*

Proof. By Lemma 2, the subalgebra L has the form ID_0 for some reduced derivation $D_0 \in W_n(\mathbb{K})$. Denote by $\overline{D_0}$ the extension of D_0 to the field $\mathbb{K}(X)$, and let S be the kernel of $\overline{D_0}$. Take any nonzero element $fD_0 \in L$, $f \in I$, and consider its centralizer $C = C_L(fD_0)$. For every nonzero element $gD_0 \in C$ one has

$$[fD_0, gD_0] = (fD_0(g) - gD_0(f))D_0 = 0.$$

This implies $D_0(f)g - fD_0(g) = 0$, thus $\overline{D_0}(f/g) = 0$ and $f/g \in S$. Take another nonzero element $hD_0 \in C$. By the same arguments we get $f/h \in S$. This shows that $g/h \in S$. The latter condition is equivalent to $[gD_0, hD_0] = 0$, so the subalgebra C is abelian. \square

The next proposition seems to be known, but having no precise reference we supply it with a complete proof. By $Z(F)$ we denote the center of a Lie algebra F .

Proposition 2. *Let F be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} of characteristic zero. Assume that the centralizers of all nonzero elements in F are abelian. Then either F is abelian, or $F \cong A \ltimes \langle b \rangle$, where $b \in F$, $A \subset F$ is an abelian ideal and $Z(F) = 0$, or $F \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K})$.*

Proof. If the centralizers of all nonzero elements of a Lie algebra F is abelian, then the same property holds for every subalgebra of F . Assume that F is not abelian and the centralizers of all elements of F are abelian. Then the center $Z(F)$ is trivial.

Case 1. F is solvable. Then F contains a non-central one-dimensional ideal $\langle a \rangle$, see [3, II.4.1, Corollary B]. Let A be the centralizer of a in F . Clearly, A is an abelian ideal of codimension one in F . Then $F \cong A \ltimes \langle b \rangle$ for any $b \in F \setminus A$.

Case 2. F is semisimple. Then $F = F_1 \oplus \dots \oplus F_k$ is the sum of simple ideals. Since the centralizer of every element $x \in F_1$ contains $F_2 \oplus \dots \oplus F_k$, we conclude that F is simple. Let H be a Cartan subalgebra in F and $F = N_- \oplus H \oplus N_+$ the Cartan decomposition with opposite maximal nilpotent subalgebras N_- and N_+ in F , see [3, II.8.1]. Since the centralizer of every element in N_+ is abelian, either the subalgebra N_+ is abelian or $Z(N_+) = 0$. The second possibility is excluded because N_+ is nilpotent. Thus N_+ is abelian. This is the case if and only if the root system of the Lie algebra F has rank one, or, equivalently, $F \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K})$.

Case 3. F is neither solvable nor semisimple. Consider the Levi decomposition $F = R \ltimes G$, where G is a maximal semisimple subalgebra and R is the radical of F . By Case 2, the algebra G is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K})$. Denote by A the ideal of R which coincides with R if R is abelian, and $A = [R, R]$ otherwise. By Case 1, the ideal A is abelian. Consider the decomposition $A = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_s$ into simple G -modules with respect to the adjoint representation. If $\dim A_1 = 1$, then the centralizer of a nonzero element in A_1 contains G , a contradiction. Suppose that $\dim A_1 \geq 2$. Fix an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\{e, h, f\}$ in G and take a highest vector $x \in A_1$ with respect to the Borel subalgebra $\langle e, h \rangle$. Then $[e, x] = 0$ and the centralizer $C_F(x)$ contains the subalgebra $A \ltimes \langle e \rangle$. The latter is not abelian because the adjoint action of the element e on A_1 is not trivial. This contradiction concludes the proof. \square

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we get a classification of finite-dimensional subalgebras in polynomial Lie algebras of rank one.

Theorem 1. *Let L be a polynomial Lie algebra of rank one in $W_n(\mathbb{K})$, where \mathbb{K} is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and $F \subset L$ a finite-dimensional subalgebra. Then one of the following conditions holds.*

- (1) F is abelian;
- (2) $F \cong A \ltimes \langle b \rangle$, where $A \subset F$ is an abelian ideal and $[b, a] = a$ for every $a \in A$;
- (3) F is a three-dimensional simple Lie algebra, i.e., $F \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K})$.

Proof. By Propositions 1 and 2, every finite-dimensional subalgebra $F \subset L$ is either abelian, or has the form $A \ltimes \langle b \rangle$, or is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K})$. It remains to prove that in the second case we may find $b \in F$ with $[b, a] = a$ for every $a \in A$. Take any element b with $F = A \ltimes \langle b \rangle$.

Let us prove that the operator $\text{ad}(b)$ is diagonalizable. Assuming the converse, let $a_0, a_1 \in A$ be nonzero elements with $[b, a_1] = \lambda a_1 + a_0$, $[b, a_0] = \lambda a_0$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$. By Lemma 2, the subalgebra L has the form ID_0 for some ideal $I \subseteq \mathbb{K}[X]$ and some reduced derivation $D_0 \in W_n(\mathbb{K})$. Set

$$a_0 = fD_0, \quad a_1 = gD_0, \quad b = hD_0, \quad f, g, h \in I.$$

The relations $[b, a_1] = \lambda a_1 + a_0$, $[b, a_0] = \lambda a_0$, and $[a_0, a_1] = 0$ are equivalent to

$$hD_0(g) - gD_0(h) = \lambda g + f, \quad hD_0(f) - fD_0(h) = \lambda f, \quad fD_0(g) - gD_0(f) = 0.$$

Multiplying the second relation by g , we get

$$hgD_0(f) - fgD_0(h) = \lambda fg.$$

This and the third relation imply

$$hfD_0(g) - fgD_0(h) = \lambda fg \quad \Rightarrow \quad hD_0(g) - gD_0(h) = \lambda g.$$

Together with the first relation it gives $f = 0$, a contradiction.

Now assume that $[b, a_1] = \lambda_1 a_1$ and $[b, a_2] = \lambda_2 a_2$ for some $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{K}$. If $a_1 = fD_0$, $a_2 = gD_0$, $b = hD_0$, then we obtain the relations

$$hD_0(f) - fD_0(h) = \lambda_1 f, \quad hD_0(g) - gD_0(h) = \lambda_2 g, \quad fD_0(g) - gD_0(f) = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$ghD_0(f) = gf(\lambda_1 + D_0(h)) = fhD_0(g) = fg(\lambda_2 + D_0(h)).$$

This proves that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ and hence $\text{ad}(b)$ is a scalar operator. Since F is not abelian, $\text{ad}(b)$ is nonzero and, multiplying b by a suitable scalar, we may assume that $\text{ad}(b)$ is the identical operator. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Let us show that all three possibilities indicated in Theorem 1 are realizable. Take a derivation $D_0 \in W_n(\mathbb{K})$ such that there exist non-constant polynomials $p, q \in \mathbb{K}[X]$ with $D_0(p) = 0$ and $D_0(q) = 1$. For example, one may take $D_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + P_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + \dots + P_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$ with arbitrary $P_3, \dots, P_n \in \mathbb{K}[X]$, and $p = x_1$, $q = x_2$.

The subalgebra $\langle D_0, pD_0, \dots, p^{m-1}D_0 \rangle$ is an m -dimensional abelian subalgebra in $\mathbb{K}[X]D_0$ for every positive integer m .

The subalgebra $A \ltimes \langle b \rangle$ with $\dim A = m$ may be obtained by setting $A = \langle D_0, pD_0, \dots, p^{m-1}D_0 \rangle$ and $b = -qD_0$. Indeed,

$$[-qD_0, f(p)D_0] = (-D_0(f(p)) + f(p)D_0(q))D_0 = f(p)D_0 \quad \text{for every } f(p) \in \mathbb{K}[p].$$

Finally, the derivations $e = q^2D_0$, $h = 2qD_0$ and $f = -D_0$ form an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple in $\mathbb{K}[X]D_0$.

Remark 1. The structure of finite-dimensional subalgebras in a polynomial Lie algebra $L = ID_0$ depends on properties of the derivation D_0 . In particular, if $\text{Ker}(\overline{D_0}) = \mathbb{K}$, then all abelian subalgebras in $\mathbb{K}[X]D_0$ are one-dimensional.

Our last result concerns finite-dimensional subalgebras in the Lie algebra $W_1(\mathbb{K})$. By Lemma 2, every polynomial Lie algebra in $W_1(\mathbb{K})$ has the form $L = q(x)\mathbb{K}[x]\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ with some polynomial $q(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x]$.

Proposition 3. *Let $L = q(x)\mathbb{K}[x]\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ be a polynomial algebra.*

1. *If $\deg q(x) \geq 2$, then every finite dimensional Lie subalgebra in L is one-dimensional.*
2. *If $\deg q(x) = 1$, then every finite dimensional Lie subalgebra in L is either one-dimensional or coincides with $F_k = \langle q(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, q(x)^k\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle$ for some $k \geq 2$.*
3. *If $q(x) = \text{const} \neq 0$ (i.e. $L = W_1(\mathbb{K})$), then every finite dimensional Lie subalgebra in L is either one-dimensional, or coincides with $F_{k,\beta} = \langle (x+\beta)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, (x+\beta)^k\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{K}$ and $k = 0, 2, 3, \dots$, or is a three-dimensional subalgebra*

$$F(\beta) = \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, (x+\beta)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, (x+\beta)^2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle, \quad \text{where } \beta \in \mathbb{K}.$$

Proof. Let us describe all two-dimensional subalgebras in $W_1(\mathbb{K})$. Every such subalgebra has the form

$$\langle f(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, g(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle \quad \text{with } f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x] \quad \text{and} \quad fg' - f'g = g. \quad (*)$$

If $\deg(f) \geq 2$, then looking at the highest terms of fg' and $f'g$, we get $\deg(f) = \deg(g)$. But the polynomials $(f + \lambda g, g)$ satisfy relation $(*)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$, and thus we may assume that f is linear. Each root of g is also a root of f , so g is proportional to f^k for some $k = 0, 2, 3, \dots$. This observation together with Theorem 1 and Remark 1 proves all the assertions. \square

If we consider obtained in Proposition 3 realizations up to automorphisms of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x]$, then in case $\deg q(x) = 1$ for the Lie algebra F_k one can take $q(x) = x$, and in case $q(x) = \text{const} \neq 0$ one can take $\beta = 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] V.M. Buchstaber and D.V. Leykin, Polynomial Lie algebras. Funk. Anal. Pril. **36** (2002), no. 4, 18–34 (Russian); English transl.: Funct. Anal. Appl. **36** (2002), no. 4, 267–280.
- [2] A. González-López, N. Kamran and P.J. Olver, Lie algebras of vector fields in the real plane. Proc. London Math. Soc., Third Series **64** (1992), no. 2, 339–368.
- [3] J.E. Humphreys, Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory, Springer Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [4] D.A. Jordan, On the ideals of a Lie algebra of derivations. J. London Math. Soc. (2) **33** (1986), no. 1, 33–39.
- [5] S. Lie, Theorie der Transformationsgruppen, Vol. 1-3, Leipzig, 1888, 1890, 1893.
- [6] A. Nowicki and M. Nagata, Rings of constants for k -derivations of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **28** (1988), no. 1, 111–118.

IVAN V. ARZHANTSEV: DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER ALGEBRA, FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, LENINSKIE GORY 1, GSP-1, MOSCOW, 119991, RUSSIA

E-mail address: arjantse@mccme.ru

EUGENI A. MAKEDONSKII: ALGEBRA DEPARTMENT, FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, KYIV TARAS SHEVCHENKO UNIVERSITY, 64, VOLODYMYRSKAIA STREET, 01033 KYIV, UKRAINE

E-mail address: makedonskii@mail.ru

ANATOLIY P. PETRAVCHUK: ALGEBRA DEPARTMENT, FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, KYIV TARAS SHEVCHENKO UNIVERSITY, 64, VOLODYMYRSKAIA STREET, 01033 KYIV, UKRAINE

E-mail address: aptr@univ.kiev.ua